Revision of the genus *Hoplocrotaphus* Telenga, 1950 (Hymenoptera, Braconidae, Opiinae)
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Abstract
A revision of the Palaearctic genus *Hoplocrotaphus* Telenga, 1950 (Braconidae, Opiinae) is provided; *H. hamooniae* sp. n., is described and both sexes are illustrated. This genus is recorded for the first time in Iran and Turkmenistan. Illustrated redescriptions of all species are given. An identification key to the species is included.
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Introduction
The subfamily Opiinae contains more than 2000 described species worldwide (Yu et al. 2016). Opiinae are strictly koinobiont parasitoids of larvae of Diptera – Cyclorrhapha (Wharton 1999) mainly in leaf mines and fruits. The known hosts of about 300 opiine

The genus *Hoplocrotaphus* Telenga, 1950 is a small (only three known species) and rarely collected taxon with a South Palaearctic distribution (Yu et al. 2016). The main characters to diagnose the genus are: marginal cell of fore wing very short, vein 1-R1 of fore wing in males thickened, occipital carina widely interrupted dorsally (as in the most part of Opiinae taxa) and far separated from hypostomal carina and not fused with it below near mandible, and face below antennal bases lacking tubercles (Tobias 1986).

According to Telenga (1936) one of the important characters of this genus should be presence of transverse ridge on the temples. However, the revision of the holotype material used for the description of *H. mongolicus* Fischer, 1971, *H. mysteriosus* Fischer, 1971 and *H. pospelovi* Telenga, 1950 showed that only *H. mongolicus* presented such kind of ridge although when type species was checked it looks more as wide subvertical prominence in lower half than a real ridge (Fig. 3E, F). Unfortunately, type specimens of the *H. pospelovi* are without heads (Fig. 7A) and it is impossible to check the real situation with this feature. But according to three conspecific specimens (two males and one female) collected in Turkmenistan in the similar natural condition not far from the species type locality, prominence on temple are completely absent (Fig. 8B, F).

Moreover, it is important to underline the existing incongruence with the species *H. mysteriosus* because of Fischer (1971) included the presence of “ridge” on the temple in the original description. However, when the same specimen used for the description was revised any prominence could be distinguished (Figs 5B–C, 6A).

For the first time *Hoplocrotaphus* is reported from Iran and Turkmenistan. In the present paper a new species, *H. hamooniae* sp. n., is described and illustrated. In addition, illustrated redescriptions of all *Hoplocrotaphus* species and an identification key to species are provided.

### Material and methods

The sampling surveys were carried out during 2015 and 2016 around the Hamoon wetlands in the Sistan region (Iran), where *Tamarix stricta* Boiss is the dominant species among the opportunistic salt-tolerant trees and shrubs.

The specimens were collected by light trapping; the trap consists of an incandescent bulb and an actinic fluorescent tube placed on a white sheet. The specimens were sampled by hand and directly emerged in 75% ethanol for subsequent studies. In addition, specimens were collected on *Tamarix* with a modified sweep net. Afterwards, the specimens were chemically treated according to the AXA method (van Achterberg 2009), mounted on triangular cards and labeled.

For the terminology of morphological features, sculpture and measurements see Peris-Felipo et al. (2014); for wing venation nomenclature see van Achterberg (1993). The following abbreviations are additionally used: POL – postocellar line; OOL –
ocular-ocellar line; OD – maximum diameter of lateral ocellus. Material was imaged using a Keyence® VHX-2000 Digital Microscope and Adobe Photoshop® software.

The studied specimens, including the types of *H. hamooniae*, are deposited in the collections of the Entomological Collection at the University of Valencia (Spain; ENV), Department of Plant Protection, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Zabol (Iran; DPPZ), Hungarian Natural History Museum (Budapest, Hungary; HNHM) and Zoological Institute RAS (St Petersburg, Russia; ZISP).

**Taxonomy part**

*Class Hexapoda Blainville, 1816*

*Order Hymenoptera Linnaeus, 1758*

*Family Braconidae Nees, 1811*

*Subfamily Opiinae Leach, 1815*

*Tribe Opiini Leach, 1815*

**Genus Hoplocrotaphus Telenga, 1950**

**Type species.** *Hoplocrotaphus pospelovi* Telenga, 1950.

**Diagnosis.** Head (dorsal view) transverse, with rounded temples behind eye. Ocelli weakly enlarged, arranged in triangle with base larger than its sides. Eyes virtually glabrous. Occipital carina widely interrupted dorsally, in the middle and below (lateral view) complete, not fused with hypostomal carina, usually widely separated from hypostomal carinae and independently reached lower margin of head capsule (Figs 1C, 8B). Clypeus moderately narrow and transverse; hypoclypeal cavity present, but narrow. Mandible rather narrow, usually evenly widened towards its base; its upper tooth longer than lower tooth. First flagellar segment of antenna as long as or weakly longer than second segment. Mesosoma short and high. Notauli mainly absent, finely developed on vertical anterior part. Mesoscutal pit always absent. Prescutellar depression (scutellar sulcus) with numerous carinae. Precoxal suture present, smooth, not reaching anterior and posterior margins of mesopleuron. Propodeum completely smooth. Propodeal spiracles relatively small. Hind femur thickened. Hind tibia weakly widened towards apex, shorter than hind tarsus. Pterostigma of fore wing subtriangular, usually rather wide and short. Marginal cell of fore wing strongly shortened, ending far from apex of wing; vein 1-R1 of fore wing thickened (especially in males), not reaching wing apex and shorter than pterostigma length. Vein SR1 of fore wing evenly curved or sometimes sinuate, in male thickened apically. Vein r of fore wing shorter than pterostigma and broad, in male usually thickened. Second submarginal cell of fore wing rather short. Vein m-cu of fore wing distinctly postfurcal, rarely interstitial. First subdiscal cell of fore wing open apically. First metasomal tergite short, widened towards apex, mainly smooth. Ovipositor more or less exerted.
Hoplocrotaphus hamooniae Peris-Felipo, Belokobylskij & Rakhshani, sp. n.
http://zoobank.org/CE163734-59B1-437F-B37E-AC63077E0A61
Figures 1, 2

Material examined. Holotype: ♀, IRAN: Sistan-o Baluchestan Province, Zabol- Hamoon wetland, 31°09’03.5”N, 61°20’47.04”E, 477 m, 24.ix.2016, light trap, Nim 245 (H.A. Derafshan leg.) (ENV).

Paratypes. 2♀, same data as holotype (DPPZ); 2♀, same data as holotype but 20.ix.2016, Nim 241 (ZISP) and 13.x.2016 Nim 250 (DPPZ); 1♀, same data as holotype but 31°09’03.5”N, 61°22’46.8”E, 450 m, 19.v.2015, swept on Tamarix stricta L., Nim 84 (H.A. Derafshan leg.) (DPPZ); 1♀, same data but, 19.iv.2015, Nim 59 (DPPZ). 1♂, same data as holotype but 20.ix.2016, Nim 101, swept on Tamarix sp. (DPPZ).

Comparative diagnosis. This new species resembles Hoplocrotaphus mongolicus Fischer, 1971, but differs from it in having the first metasomal tergite 0.6–0.8 times as long as its apical width (1.3 times in H. mongolicus), vein 3-SR 0.8 times as long as vein 2-SR (1.3 times in H. mongolicus), clypeus 3.7 times as wide as high (6.6 times in H. mongolicus), temple in lower half without prominence (Figs 1C, D, 2A) (with distinct prominence on the temple in H. mongolicus: Fig. 3B, E, F), occipital carinae widely separated from hypostomal carina (Fig. 1C) (occipital carinae not strongly separated from hypostomal carina in H. mongolicus: Figs 3B, 4A), first flagellar segment 2.4 times as long as its maximum width (3.1 times in H. mongolicus) and colour of the body light brown (from dark brown to black in H. mongolicus).

Description. Female (holotype).

Head. In dorsal view, 1.9 times as wide as median length, 1.1 times as wide as mesoscum, smooth. Eye in lateral view 1.6 times as high as wide and 1.4 times as wide as temple medially. POL 2.3 times OD; OOL 1.7 times OD. Face 2.4 times as wide as high; inner margins of eyes subparallel. Clypeus 3.7 times as wide as high, slightly curved ventrally. Mandible weakly and evenly widened towards base. Temple in lower half without prominence. Occipital carina ventrally widely separated from hypostomal carinae. Antenna 20-segmented. Scape 1.8 times as long as pedicel. First flagellar segment 2.4 times as long as its apical width, as long as second segment; second segment 2.2 times; third to sixth segments 2.0 times, seventh to ninth segments 1.8 times; 10th to 14th 1.5 times, 15th and 16th 1.3 times; 17th 1.1 times and 18th (apical) segment 2.1 times as long as their maximum width accordingly.

Mesosoma. In lateral view, 1.2 times as long as high. Mesoscum 0.9 times as long as its maximum width. Posterior mesopleural furrow smooth.

Legs. Hind femur 3.3 times as long as its maximum width. Hind tibia about 6.0 times as long as its maximum subapical width, 0.8 times as long as hind tarsus. First segment of hind tarsus 1.7 times as long as second segment.

Wings. Length of fore wing 2.3 times its maximum width. Marginal cell 3.3 times as long as its maximum width. Vein 1-R1 0.3 times as long as pterostigma, 0.3 times as long as distance between apex of marginal cell and apex of wing. Veins r shorter than
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Figure 1. Hoplocrotaphus hamooniae sp. n. (A, C–F female holotype B male paratype) A–B Habitus, lateral view C Head, lateral view D Mandible E Antenna F Head, frontal view.

pterostigma broad. Vein 3-SR 0.8 times as long as vein 2-SR. Vein m-cu interstitial. Hind wing 3.9 times as long as its maximum width.

Metasoma. First tergite weakly widened towards apex, 0.6 times as long as its apical width. Ovipositor exerted, 0.9 times as long as first tergite, 0.6 times as long as hind femur.

Length. Body 2.1 mm; fore wing 2.1 mm; hind wing 1.7 mm.

Variation. All females have the same characters and ratios.

Male. Body 2.0 mm; fore wing 2.0 mm; hind wing 1.5 mm. Antenna 21-segmented and first flagellar segment twice as long as its maximum width. Eye in lateral view as wide as temple medially. Mesosoma in lateral view 1.4 times as long as high. First metasomal tergite 0.8 times as long as its apical width. Otherwise similar to female.

Distribution. Iran.


**Hoplocrotaphus mongolicus** Fischer, 1971
Figures 3, 4

*Hoplocrotaphus mongolicus* Fischer, 1971: 69; Yu et al., 2016.

**Material examined.** 1 ♀ (holotype), Mongolia, Central aimak, 12 km S von Somon Bajanbaraat, 1380 m, 13.vii.1967, Exp. Dr. Z. Kaszab (Nr. 918) (HNHM).

**Comparative diagnosis.** This species is similar to *H. hamooniae* sp. n.; differences between both species are described under the latter species. Moreover, this species is similar to *H. mysteriosus* Fischer, 1971 but differs from it in having the temple in lower half with distinct prominence (Fig. 3B, E, F) (without prominence in *H. mysteriosus*: Fig. 5B, C, E, F), occipital carinae not strongly separated from hypostomal carina (widely separated from hypostomal carina in *H. mysteriosus*), first flagellar segment 3.1 times as long as its maximum width (3.7 times in *H. mysteriosus*), sixth flagellar segment 1.9 times as long as its maximum width (2.9 times in *H. mysteriosus*), POL 2.8 times OD (3.8 times in *H. mysteriosus*), OOL 1.9 times OD (3.5 times in *H. mysteriosus*), hind femur 3.3 times as long as its maximum width (4.0 times in *H. mysteriosus*), first metasomal tergite 1.3 times as long as its apical width (0.8 times in *H. mysteriosus*), marginal cell 3.1 times as long as its maximum width (4.2 times in *H. mysteriosus*), and vein 3-SR 1.3 times as long as vein 2-SR (0.8 times in *H. mysteriosus*).

**Re-description.** Female (holotype). *Head.* In dorsal view, 1.9 times as wide as median length, 1.3 times as wide as mesoscutum, smooth. Eye in lateral view 1.5 times as high as wide and 1.5 times as wide as temple medially. POL 2.8 times OD; OOL 1.9 times OD. Face 2.4 times as wide as high; inner margins of eyes subparallel. Clypeus 6.6 times as wide as high, slightly curved ventrally. Temple in lower half with distinct prominence. Occipital carina ventrally not widely separated from hypostomal carinae. Mandible weakly and evenly widened towards base. Antenna 15-segmented. Scape 1.5 times as long as pedicel. First flagellar segment 3.1 times as long as its apical width, 1.1 times as long as second segment; second to fourth segments 2.1 times; fifth to 12th 1.9 times, and 13th (apical) segment 2.1 times as long as their maximum width accordingly.

*Mesosoma.* In lateral view, 1.2 times as long as high. Mesoscutum 0.9 times as long as its maximum width. Posterior mesopleural furrow smooth.

*Legs.* Hind femur 3.3 times as long as its maximum width. Hind tibia about 7.5 times as long as its maximum subapical width, as long as hind tarsus. First segment of hind tarsus 1.6 times as long as second segment.

*Wings.* Length of fore wing 2.6 times its maximum width. Marginal cell 3.1 times as long as its maximum width. Vein 1-R1 0.3 times as long as pterostigma, 0.3 times as long as distance between apex of marginal cell and apex of wing. Veins r shorter than pterostigma broad. Vein 3-SR 1.3 times as long as vein 2-SR. Vein m-cu postfurcal. Hind wing 4.1 times as long as its maximum width.
Metasoma. First tergite weakly widened towards apex, 1.3 times as long as its apical width. Ovipositor not exerted, 0.5 times as long as first tergite, 0.1 times as long as hind femur.

Length. Body 1.6 mm; fore wing 2.0 mm; hind wing 1.3 mm.
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Figure 4. Hoplocrotaphus mongolicus Fischer, 1971 (female, holotype) A Head and mesosoma, lateral view B Propodeum, dorsal view C First metasomal tergite D Legs, metasoma and ovipositor, lateral view E Fore wing.

Hoplocrotaphus mysteriosus Fischer, 1971

Figures 5, 6


Material examined. 1 ♀ (holotype), Mongolia, Bajan-Ölgij aimak, 20 km NNW von der Stadt Ölgij, 2100 m, 2.vii.1968, Exp. Dr. Z. Kaszab (Nr. 1054) (HNHM).
Figure 5. *Hoplocrotaphus mysteriosus* Fischer, 1971 (female, holotype) A Habitus, lateral view B Head, lateral view C Mandible D Antenna E Head, front view F Head and mesoscutum, dorsal view.

**Comparative diagnosis.** This species is similar to *H. mongolicus* Fischer; differences between both species are described under the latter species.

**Re-description.** Female (holotype).

**Head.** In dorsal view, 1.8 times as wide as median length, 1.3 times as wide as mesoscutum, smooth. Eye in lateral view 1.5 times as high as wide and 1.4 times as wide as temple medially. POL 3.8 times OD; OOL 3.5 times OD. Face 2.6 times as wide as high; inner margins of eyes subparallel. Clypeus 4.4 times as wide as high, slightly curved ventrally. Temple in lower half without prominence. Occipital carina ventrally
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Figure 6. *Hoplocrotaphus mysteriosus* Fischer, 1971 (female, holotype) **A** Head and mesosoma, lateral view **B** Propodeum, dorsal view **C** First metasomal tergite **D** Legs, metasoma and ovipositor, lateral view **E** Fore wing.

rather widely separated from hypostomal carinae. Mandible weakly and evenly widened towards base. Antenna 14-segmented. Scape 1.5 times as long as pedicel. First flagellar segment 3.7 times as long as its apical width, 1.1 times as long as second segment; second and third segments 3.3–3.4 times; fourth and fifth 3.1 times; sixth to 11th 2.9 times, and 12th (apical) segment 3.1 times as long as their maximum width accordingly.

*Mesosoma.* In lateral view, 1.1 times as long as high. Mesoscutum about as long as its maximum width. Posterior mesopleural furrow smooth.
Legs. Hind femur 4.0 times as long as its maximum width. Hind tibia 8.2 times as long as its maximum subapical width, about as long as hind tarsus. First segment of hind tarsus 1.7 times as long as second segment.

Wings. Length of fore wing 3.0 times its maximum width. Marginal cell 4.2 times as long as its maximum width. Vein 1-R1 0.3 times as long as pterostigma, 0.5 times as long as distance between apex of marginal cell and apex of wing. Veins r shorter than pterostigma broad. Vein 3-SR 0.8 times as long as vein 2-SR. Vein m-cu distinctly postfurcal. Hind wing 4.2 times as long as its maximum width.

Metasoma. First tergite widened towards apex, 0.8 times as long as its apical width. Ovipositor not exerted, 0.4 times as long as first tergite, 0.1 times as long as hind femur. Length. Body 1.2 mm; fore wing 1.5 mm; hind wing 1.1 mm.


Male. Unknown.

Distribution. Mongolia, Romania.

**Hoplocrotaphus pospelovi** Telenga, 1950

Figures 7–9

**Hoplocrotaphus pospelovi** Telenga, 1950: 303; Tobias and Jakimavicius, 1986: 99; Yu et al., 2016.


Comparative diagnosis. This species is similar to *H. hamooniae* sp. n., but differs by having the mandible basally with distinct ventral lobe (without ventral lobe in *H. hamooniae*), first flagellar segment 3.3 times as long as its maximum width (2.4 times in *H. hamooniae*), sixth flagellar segment 2.6 times as long as its maximum width (2.0–2.1 times in *H. hamooniae*), marginal cell 2.0 times as long as its maximum width (3.3 times in *H. hamooniae*), OOL 2.8 times OD (1.7 times in *H. hamooniae*), and clypeus 4.6 times as wide as high (3.7 times in *H. hamooniae*).

Re-description. Male (lectotype and additional specimen).

Head. In dorsal view, 2.1 times as wide as median length, 1.3 times as wide as mesoscutum, smooth. Eye in lateral view 1.4 times as high as wide and 1.3 times as wide as temple medi ally. POL 2.8 times OD; OOL 2.8 times OD. Face 2.4 times as wide
as high; inner margins of eyes subparallel. Clypeus 4.6 times as wide as high, slightly curved ventrally. Temple in lower half without prominence. Occipital carina ventrally widely separated from hypostomal carinae. Mandible basally with distinct ventral lobe. Antenna 17-segmented. Scape 1.5 times as long as pedicel. First flagellar segment 3.3 times as long as its apical width, 1.2 times as long as second segment; second segment 2.8 times; third to eighth segments 2.5–2.6 times; ninth to 14th 2.3 times, and 15th (apical) segment 3.3 times as long as their maximum width accordingly.
Mesosoma. In lateral view, 1.3 times as long as high. Mesoscutum almost as long as its maximum width. Posterior mesopleural furrow smooth.

Legs. Hind femur 3.1 times as long as its maximum width. Hind tibia 6.5 times as long as its maximum subapical width, 1.1 times as long as hind tarsus. First segment of hind tarsus 1.5 times as long as second segment.

Wings. Length of fore wing 2.4 times its maximum width. Marginal cell 2.6 times as long as its maximum width. Vein 1-R1 0.25 times as long as pterostigma, 0.25 times as long as distance between apex of marginal cell and apex of wing. Veins r distinctly
shorter than pterostigma broad. Vein 3-SR 0.9 times as long as vein 2-SR. Vein m-cu distinctly postfurcal. Hind wing 4.5 times as long as its maximum width.

Metasoma. First tergite widened towards apex, 0.9 times as long as its apical width. 

Length. Body 1.3 mm; fore wing 1.5 mm; hind wing 1.2 mm.


Variation. Body length 1.9 mm; fore wing 1.8 mm; hind wing 1.5 mm. Head. In dorsal view, 1.9 times as wide as median length, 1.4 times as wide as mesoscutum. Eye
in lateral view 1.4 times as high as wide and 1.25 times as wide as temple medially. POL 2.3 times OD; OOL 2.0 times OD. Face 1.6 times as wide as high. Clypeus 3.4 times as wide as high. Scape 1.4 times as long as pedicel. First flagellar segment 2.7 times as long as its apical width, 1.1 times as long as second segment; second segment 2.6 times; following segments 2.4–2.5 times; and 15th (apical) segment 3.0 times as long as their maximum width accordingly. *Mesosoma.* In lateral view, 1.1 times as long as high. *Legs.* Hind femur 2.6–2.7 times as long as its maximum width. Hind tibia 5.5–5.7 times as long as its maximum subapical width. First segment of hind tarsus 1.8 times as long as second segment. *Wings.* Length of fore wing 2.3 times its maximum width. Marginal cell 3.1 times as long as its maximum width. Vein 1-R1 0.26–0.28 times as long as pterostigma, 0.24–0.26 times as long as distance between apex of marginal cell and apex of wing. Hind wing 3.9–4.0 times as long as its maximum width.

**Female.** *Head.* In dorsal view, 2.0 times as wide as median length. Eye in lateral view 1.3 times as high as wide and 1.4 times as wide as temple medially. POL 2.0 times OD; OOL 2.3 times OD. Inner margins of eyes weakly divergent below. Clypeus 3.0 times as wide as high. Antenna more than 6-segmented (apical segments missing). Scape 1.7 times as long as pedicel. First flagellar segment 3.2 times as long as its apical width, 1.2 times as long as second segment.

**Mesosoma.** In lateral view, 1.1 times as long as high. Mesoscutum 1.15 times as long as its maximum width.

**Legs.** Hind femur 3.0 times as long as its maximum width. Hind tibia 5.3 times as long as its maximum subapical width, almost as long as hind tarsus. First segment of hind tarsus 1.8 times as long as second segment.

**Wings.** Length of fore wing 2.4 times its maximum width. Marginal cell 2.6 times as long as its maximum width. Vein 1-R1 0.3 times as long as pterostigma, 0.2 times as long as distance between apex of marginal cell and apex of wing. Vein 3-SR as long as vein 2-SR. Hind wing 3.6 times as long as its maximum width.

**Metasoma.** First tergite 0.8 times as long as its apical width. Ovipositor distinctly exerted, 1.2 times as long as first tergite, 0.6 times as long as hind femur.

**Length.** Body 1.9 mm; fore wing 2.3 mm; hind wing 1.6 mm. Otherwise similar to male.

**Distribution.** Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan (new record).

---

**Key to species of the genus *Hoplocrotaphus* Telenga**

1. Mandible basally with rather distinct ventral lobe (Fig. 8C). Marginal cell 2.6–3.1 times as long as its maximum width (Figs 7E, 9E). – Antenna 17-segmented. Body length 1.3–2.1 mm. Body yellowish to orange. Kazakhstan, Turkmenistan..........................*H. pospelovi* Telenga (♀ ♂)

– Mandible basally without ventral lobe (Figs 1D, 3C, 5C). Marginal cell 3.1–4.2 times as long as its maximum width (Figs 2E, 4E, 6E). .................2
Marginal cell of fore wing 4.2 times as long as its maximum width (Fig. 6E). Hind femur 4.0 times as long as its maximum width (Fig. 6D). First flagellar segment of ♀ 3.7 times as long as its maximum width (Fig. 5D). POL 3.8 times OD. OOL 3.5 times OD (Fig. 5F). – Antenna 14-segmented. Body length 1.2 mm. Body black to dark brown. Mongolia, Romania.............................................. *H. mysteriosus* Fischer (♀)

Marginal cell of fore wing 3.1–3.3 times as long as its maximum width (Figs 2F, 4E). Hind femur 3.3 times as long as its maximum width (Figs 2E, 4D). First flagellar segment of ♀ 2.4–3.1 times as long as its maximum width (Figs 1C, 3D). POL 2.3–2.8 times OD. OOL 1.7–1.9 times OD (Figs 2A, 3F). Antenna 15–21-segmented.................................3

Temple in lower half with distinct subvertical prominence (Fig. 3B, C, E, F). Occipital carina ventrally not widely separated from hypostomal carinae (Fig. 3B, E). Body black to dark brown (Fig. 3A). First metasomal tergite 1.3 times as long as its apical width (Fig. 4C). Vein m-cu of fore wing distinctly postfurcal (Fig. 4E). Vein 3-SR of fore wing 1.3 times as long as vein 2-SR (Fig. 4E). Clypeus 6.6 times as wide as high (Fig. 3E). First flagellar segment of ♀ 3.1 times as long as its maximum width (Fig. 3D). Antenna 15-segmented. Body length 1.6 mm. Mongolia.......... *H. mongolicus* Fischer (♀)

Temple in lower half without distinct subvertical prominence (Figs 8B, E, F, 9A). Occipital carina ventrally not widely separated from hypostomal carinae (Figs 3B, C). Body light brown (Fig. 1A, B). First metasomal tergite 0.6–0.8 times as long as its apical width (Fig. 2D). Vein m-cu of fore wing interstitial (Fig. 2F). Vein 3-SR of fore wing 0.8 times as long as vein 2-SR (Fig. 2F). Clypeus 3.7 times as wide as high (Fig. 1D). First flagellar segment of ♀ 2.4 times as long as its maximum width (Fig. 1E). Antenna 20–21-segmented. Body length 2.0–2.1 mm. Iran.............................. *H. hamooniae* sp. n. (♀ ♂)

**Discussion**

The new species was found in association with *Tamarix stricta* Boiss. around Hamoon wetland during spring (April–May) and autumn (September–October). The absence during the hot summer period suggests two generations per year. These specimens were collected mainly early at night by light trapping at ground level, while no specimens were found in light traps mounted more than one meter above ground level during the whole year. The specimens share the pale body colour, but there is no morphological evidence of nocturnal activity (for example, enlarged compound eyes and ocelli: Tobias 1966), both are normal in size. It is likely that the parasitoids normally escape from the extremely hot weather during daytime by hiding among the *Tamarix* branches. The wing morphology has clues about flight ability and habitat preference (Quicke 2015). There is no evidence of the possible host of *H. hamooniae* sp. n. (as well as for other *Hoplocrotaphus* species) among the various mining dipterous larvae available on the wetland plants.
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